

# RETHINKING DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION OF COMBATANTS IN 21ST CENTURY NIGERIA'S ARMED FORCES

**EMMANUEL UGBEDEOJO AMEH, PhD**

Department of Peace Studies and Conflict Resolution,  
National Open University of Nigeria, Abuja, Nigeria

**Phone: +2348036604888 / +2348072754599**

**Email: [omaiyeameh@gmail.com](mailto:omaiyeameh@gmail.com) / [eameh@noun.edu.ng](mailto:eameh@noun.edu.ng)**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.57233/gujos.v4i4.06>

## **Abstract**

Nigeria contends with entrenched armed conflicts involving state and non-state actors, severely impacting national security and socio-economic stability. Despite longstanding Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) efforts aimed at transitioning combatants to civilian life, challenges persist in effectively reintegrating ex-combatants, particularly in economically marginalized regions like the Niger Delta. This study critically assesses current DDR programs in Nigeria, highlighting their shortcomings and proposing innovative 21st-century strategies. Drawing from conflict theory, it examines socio-economic, political, and psychological factors influencing ex-combatants' reintegration. This study employs a documentary research design, relying on the systematic analysis of existing reports, policy documents, and academic literature to evaluate the implementation and outcomes of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) programs in Nigeria. Key findings underscore significant gaps in economic support and societal acceptance, hindering sustainable reintegration efforts. The study advocates for tailored interventions that prioritize economic empowerment, community engagement, and psychosocial support to mitigate re-mobilization risks and promote lasting peace. Policy recommendations include enhancing vocational training aligned with local job markets, supporting entrepreneurial initiatives through microfinance, and implementing robust monitoring frameworks to ensure effective program evaluation and adaptation.

**Keywords:** Combatants; Demobilization; Disarmament; Microfinance; Reintegration.

## **Introduction**

Nigeria's armed conflicts have severely impacted security and stability. Disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration (DDR) are crucial for transitioning combatants back into civilian life and ensuring stability. The United States Institute of Peace (USIP) (2021) reported that Nigeria has faced multiple armed conflicts involving state and non-state actors, significantly impacting national security and socio-economic stability. Combatants, including armed forces and militias, play critical roles in perpetuating these conflicts (Asala & Udoka, 2022). Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) programs have historically aimed to transition combatants to civilian life, fostering peace amidst evolving conflict contexts and changing warfare dynamics globally (Nnanta, 2023).

Updating DDR strategies for the 21st century is crucial to effectively address modern challenges such as asymmetric warfare and transnational threats (The DDR Bulletin, 2024). These strategies must ensure inclusivity, fairness, and sustainable reintegration, mitigating the risk of re-mobilization and promoting reconciliation (USIP, 2021). By enhancing DDR initiatives tailored to contemporary conflict environments, Nigeria can better manage armed conflicts, reduce their impacts, and foster long-term stability and development (Aver, 2020).

Despite the existence of DDR programs, their effectiveness in Nigeria has been limited, failing to achieve long-term peace and socio-economic stability. This research addresses the following questions: (i) what are the limitations of existing DDR programs in Nigeria? (ii) how can DDR strategies be rethought to better address current and future challenges? (iii) what innovative approaches can enhance the effectiveness of DDR in Nigeria?

The focus is on Nigeria's armed forces and militias, examining DDR programs from the past two decades (2009-2019) and conducting a comparative analysis with DDR programs in other regions to draw lessons and insights. While current DDR programs in Nigeria have had some success, they require significant rethinking and adaptation to effectively address the complexities of modern conflicts and ensure sustainable peace and reintegration.

## **Conceptual clarification and Literature Review**

Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) are integral components of peace building efforts aimed at transitioning societies from conflict to stability. Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) encompasses the collection and destruction of

weapons (disarmament), the demobilization of armed groups (demobilization), and the reintegration of ex-combatants into civilian life (reintegration). These processes are crucial for restoring peace and fostering sustainable development in post-conflict settings (The DDR Bulletin, 2024).

### **Disarmament**

Disarmament, as the initial phase of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) processes, plays a crucial role in post-conflict settings by facilitating the reduction of violence and enhancing community security. It involves systematic efforts to collect, control, and dispose of weapons that combatants possess, thereby mitigating the potential for renewed hostilities (Rodríguez-Castellón, 2024; The DDR Bulletin, 2024). Effective disarmament requires well-structured mechanisms for the collection, verification, and destruction of weapons, often overseen by impartial entities such as international organizations or peacekeeping missions.

In specific contexts such as the Niger Delta, disarmament efforts have encountered significant challenges due to the widespread proliferation of weapons among militant groups involved in conflicts related to oil resources (Wununyatu & Solomon, 2020). These challenges include identifying and securing hidden weapons caches, as well as convincing diverse armed factions to surrender their arms without assurances of security and viable alternatives for livelihoods. The reluctance of armed groups to disarm often stems from concerns about their future safety and economic sustainability post-conflict, highlighting the complexities involved in achieving successful disarmament in volatile regions (World Bank, 2022).

### **Demobilization**

Demobilization, a pivotal phase in Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) processes, involves formally disbanding armed groups and ceasing hostilities to transition combatants from military to civilian status. This phase aims to reintegrate former combatants into society, thereby reducing the likelihood of their remobilization into conflict (Wununyatu & Solomon, 2020).

In regions plagued by conflict and resource competition, such as the Niger Delta, demobilization efforts face significant challenges. Militant groups involved in conflicts over oil resources often exhibit fragmented membership structures, making it difficult to identify

eligible combatants (Mai-Bornu, 2019; Nnanta, 2023). Addressing the grievances and aspirations of ex-combatants is crucial to preventing their potential return to violence. Effective demobilization requires ensuring that former combatants feel integrated into society, with access to viable livelihood opportunities and support mechanisms that mitigate the risk of relapse into conflict.

The Niger Delta's socio-economic and environmental dynamics further complicate demobilization efforts. Natural resources, particularly oil, play a central role in both livelihoods and conflict in this region (Mai-Bornu, 2019; Ukhurebor et al, 2023). Therefore, integrating conflict-sensitive natural resource management (NRM) practices is essential. Sustainable NRM practices can help alleviate resource-related tensions and create stable livelihood opportunities that support demobilized combatants and affected communities. Effective demobilization in resource-rich conflict zones like the Niger Delta necessitates holistic approaches that integrate DDR processes with sustainable NRM strategies (Babatunde, 2020; Ukhurebor et al, 2023).

### **Reintegration**

Reintegration constitutes the final and most critical phase of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) processes, focusing on the sustainable return of ex-combatants to civilian life. This complex process encompasses social, economic, and psychological dimensions aimed at facilitating the reintegration of former combatants into their communities as productive members of society as observed by the United Nations DDR Resource Centre (UN DDR), (2022).

Successful reintegration of ex-combatants requires several key components. Providing opportunities for vocational training and education helps ex-combatants develop new skills and acquire knowledge, facilitating their transition into civilian livelihoods and promoting self-sufficiency (Obafemi, & Oluranti, 2022). Ensuring sustainable sources of livelihood is also crucial, which involves connecting ex-combatants with job placements, small business support, and other income-generating activities (Ebiede et al, 2020). Additionally, implementing community reconciliation initiatives is essential to promote social cohesion and reconciliation between ex-combatants and their communities, addressing past grievances, building trust, and preventing a return to violence. These elements are critical for achieving lasting peace and development in post-conflict regions like the Niger Delta (Nnanta, 2023; Ukhurebor et al, 2023).

Efforts to reintegrate ex-militants in Nigeria's Niger Delta face significant challenges, including socio-economic disparities, limited employment opportunities, and inadequate infrastructure. The region's lack of development complicates finding viable economic opportunities for ex-combatants. Limited job availability and poor infrastructure, such as roads and healthcare facilities, further hinder the reintegration process (Mai-Bornu, 2019). Successful reintegration requires tailored livelihood support programs that address local socio-economic, cultural, and political dynamics. Comprehensive support should include vocational training, job placement, psychosocial counselling, and community reconciliation initiatives. Effective coordination among the government, civil society, and international partners is essential for sustainable reintegration efforts (United Nations DDR Resource Centre (UN DDR), 2022).

S/N	Area of Specialisation	Number Trained
1	Education:	
	- Nigerian universities	1,620
	- Foreign universities	1,454
2	Welding and fabrication.	5,194
3	Entrepreneurship	2,798
4	Maritime	1,086
5	Crane and heavy duty	1,030
6	Pipefitting	250
7	Boat building	299
8	Agriculture	239
9	Automobile	207
10	Electrical installation	89
11	Aviation:	
	- Engineers	138
	- Pilot (fixed wing)	71
	- Helicopter	21
	- Instructors	5
12	Diving	230
13	Drilling	197
14	Health, safety and environment	104
15	Industry automation.	86
16	Entertainment	60
17	Instrumentation	46
	<b>Total</b>	<b>15,224</b>

**Source: A 2015 Reintegration Register (Ebiede, Langer, & Tosun, 2020)**

## **Socio-economic Reintegration**

Socio-economic reintegration is a critical aspect of DDR, aiming to address the root causes of conflict by providing ex-combatants with viable alternatives to violence. This includes access to education, skills training, microfinance initiatives, and job placement services tailored to local economic opportunities (Ebiede, Langer, & Tosun, 2020). Sustainable reintegration efforts not only benefit ex-combatants but also contribute to broader community stability and economic development (Wununyatu & Solomon, 2020).

## **Theoretical Framework**

This paper is anchored on the conflict theoretical perspective. Conflict theory, formulated primarily by Karl Marx in the mid-19th century, asserts that society is in a constant state of conflict due to the competition for limited resources. It highlights power imbalances and social inequalities that provoke societal conflicts (Hayes, 2024). Conflict theory is grounded in the philosophical ideas of Niccollo Machiavelli, Thomas Hobbes, and Karl Marx, and it suggests that people are inherently competitive and contentious when vying for resources and power. This theory gained prominence during the Civil Rights Movement, when the traditionally dominant power of Whites and men in society was challenged (Paige & Fredrick, 2014). The key components of conflict theory are:

- (a) **Class Struggle:** Central to Marx's argument is the conflict between social classes, particularly the bourgeoisie (owners of production) and the proletariat (working class) (Paige & Fredrick, 2014).
- (b) **Unequal Distribution of Power:** Power disparities exist in society, with those in power leveraging their resources to maintain dominance, often at the expense of the less privileged (Mashal, 2023).
- (c) **Social Change through Conflict:** Social change is often driven by marginalized groups challenging existing power structures, leading to significant societal transformations (Hayes, 2024).

Critics argue that conflict theory places too much emphasis on economic factors and class struggle, neglecting other social conflicts such as those based on gender and race. Additionally, it is said to underestimate the potential for stability and cooperation within societies, focusing primarily on conflict and change (Hayes, 2024; Mashal, 2023). Critically, Conflict Theory views social change as a product of conflict. It posits that struggles between

conflicting groups—whether based on class, race, gender, or other dimensions—can lead to significant transformations in societal norms, policies, and power structures.

The theory has faced criticism for its deterministic outlook, which sometimes overlooks cooperative interactions and gradual reforms as pathways to social change. Critics also argue that Conflict Theory may underemphasize the role of cultural values, norms, and ideologies in shaping social relations and conflicts, potentially oversimplifying complex societal dynamics (Paige & Fredrick, 2014).

In practical application, Conflict Theory provides valuable insights for understanding and addressing conflicts in diverse contexts, including conflict-affected regions like the Niger Delta. Here, conflicts over natural resource exploitation have persisted due to underlying power imbalances among multinational corporations, local communities, and governmental authorities. Conflict Theory helps illuminate how these structural inequalities contribute to social unrest and violence, highlighting the need for policies and interventions that promote equitable resource distribution, community empowerment, and participatory decision-making.

Schulhofer-Wohl and Sambanis (2010), in their studies for the Folke Bernadotte Academy, examine the effectiveness of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) programs in reducing post-conflict crime and violence. Their analysis reveals that failures in DDR implementation often leads to increased involvement of former combatants in crime, highlighting the importance of effective reintegration efforts for maintaining security and stability. While successful DDR programs can potentially reduce crime rates, the authors caution against generalizing these findings due to the varied socio-economic and political complexities of post-conflict environments. They advocate for comparative analyses between DDR participants and non-participants to better understand the program's impact. In conclusion, their research underscores the potential of DDR programs to address post-conflict crime, while emphasizing the need for rigorous, context-specific evaluations to accurately assess their outcomes.

Munive and Steppulat (2015) introduced the special issue on DDR and 'Armed Non-Statutory Actors' (ANSAs) which we prefer to the less precise label of Armed Non-State Actors. The understanding that disarmament, demobilization and reintegration (DDR) programs are essential in helping to prevent the recurrence of war in post-conflict situations is at the heart of current peacebuilding practice and the academic literature on peacekeeping and

stabilization. But the changing strategic context of DDR programs and in particular the proliferation of ANSAs presents new challenges, the responses to which have been characterized as 'second generation' DDR. The changing context poses new questions and forces us to rethink assumptions and templates of DDR as the concept is blurred and expanded. The question is if it makes sense to hold on to the concept or whether the assumptions associated with it will get in the way of rethinking templates for violence reduction in the future.

Kperogi (2016), investigates Nigeria's Niger Delta post-conflict situation post-2009 amnesty program aimed at reintegrating ex-combatants. Focused on Okrika, a key area of resistance, the study uses interviews, focus groups, and observations to analyse social, economic, and political reintegration challenges. It finds successes in social and political integration but highlights economic reintegration difficulties. Applying John Burton's Human Needs Theory, Kperogi argues that unmet needs prolong conflict and emphasizes their importance for successful reintegration. Despite government efforts, issues like inadequate stipends and social stigma persist, affecting ex-combatants' employability. The study suggests broader research across the Niger Delta to improve government reintegration strategies, offering insights into DDR's complexities in post-conflict environments.

Muggah (2015), asserts that the process of disarming, demobilizing, and reintegrating ex-combatants is an age-old practice, central to modern peace, security, and development efforts. They highlight the uneven results of these DDR initiatives, despite their prominence in post-conflict stabilization and reconstruction. As global conflict dynamics evolve, DDR approaches must adapt to new challenges, such as disengaging groups like Al Shabaab, Jihadi fighters, the Taliban, and Boko Haram. The article explores the complexities and legal challenges in DDR programs and offers insights into future trajectories for scholars and practitioners in the 21st century.

Daniels (2020), examines the role of amnesty in ending civil wars, questioning the effectiveness of trading justice for peace. The article explores the impact of amnesty in different conflict outcomes, including negotiated settlements, rebel victories, government victories, and conflict reductions. By analysing a cross-national dataset of amnesties in dyadic conflicts from 1975 to 2011, Daniels finds that the primary effect of amnesty is not as an incentive but in reducing commitment problems, which can facilitate negotiated

settlements or provide a military advantage to the government. These findings offer significant insights for negotiations and conflict resolution strategies.

Ndiyun (2023) explores whether prioritizing justice over peace is beneficial in conflict-prone countries, with a focus on the Central African Republic (CAR) and other African states that have used amnesties to resolve conflicts. Advocates of prioritizing peace suggest that pursuing justice can worsen conflicts, while others argue that lasting peace necessitates justice. The study analyses the effects of amnesties and criminal trials on sustainable peace, deterrence, and political stability in CAR. It contends that justice is crucial for peace, examining amnesty under international law, which requires the prosecution of international crimes. The research concludes that justice, rather than amnesty, provides a more effective route to durable peace in CAR, as amnesty often results in impunity and recurring conflicts.

Nwobueze and Inokoba (2017) assess the effectiveness of various government interventions in Nigeria's Niger Delta, a region plagued by resource-related conflicts. Despite the temporary "cold peace" achieved by the Amnesty Programme, the authors argue it fails to establish genuine and lasting peace, as it only addresses the symptoms rather than the root causes of conflict. Post-amnesty, the region continues to experience issues like kidnapping, hostage-taking, oil bunkering, and attacks on oil infrastructure, reflecting a superficial peace. Utilizing descriptive qualitative methods and case study research informed by the structural violence paradigm, the study concludes that sustainable peace and security will remain elusive until the fundamental causes of unrest and violence are fully addressed.

The UN (2021), report highlights the overlap between organized crime and conflict, noting that weakened institutions and war economies in conflict zones foster illicit activities like human, drug, and weapons trafficking. These activities provide combatants with incentives to continue fighting. Successful DDR processes must address ex-combatants' involvement in organized crime by promoting alternative livelihoods, reconciliation, and strengthening national and local capacities. Good governance, transparent policies, and community engagement are essential to offer alternatives to illicit economies and address conflict drivers. Strengthening the state institutions and civil services through training and improved conditions is crucial for governance and rule of law. The sustainability of DDR efforts relies on robust systems and stakeholder cooperation. The report urges DDR practitioners to incorporate insights on organized crime into DDR processes, emphasizing

age- and gender-sensitive approaches, enhanced cooperation, and stakeholder dialogue to support the transition from conflict to sustainable peace.

## **Methodology**

This study employed a documentary research design, relying on the systematic analysis of existing reports, policy documents, and academic literature to evaluate the implementation and outcomes of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) programs in Nigeria. Given the study's focus on synthesizing knowledge rather than generating primary data, this approach allows for a comprehensive assessment of past and present DDR strategies, their challenges, and their implications for sustainable peace in Nigeria. To ensure relevance and rigor, the study adopted clear criteria for selecting secondary sources. Only materials published between 2010 and 2024 were included, ensuring that the analysis reflects recent developments in DDR practices within Nigeria's evolving conflict landscape.

The selection process prioritized documents that directly addressed DDR's socio-economic, political, and operational dimensions, including peer-reviewed journal articles, government and NGO reports, United Nations publications, and conflict resolution literature. Sources that fell outside the specified timeframe, lacked empirical grounding, or were unrelated to Nigeria's DDR context were excluded. The analysis followed a thematic synthesis approach. First, the collected literature was systematically coded to identify recurring themes, such as reintegration barriers, community engagement, and policy inconsistencies. These themes were then cross-examined across different sources to assess areas of consensus or contradiction in the literature. Finally, the findings were interpreted within Nigeria's broader socio-political and conflict dynamics, drawing on theoretical insights from peace building studies and political economy to contextualize the successes and shortcomings of DDR programs.

## **Findings**

### **Research Question 1: What are the limitations of existing DDR programs in Nigeria?**

#### **(i) Failures in DDR Implementation and Crime Increase:**

Failures in DDR implementation, particularly in the reintegration phase, can lead to an increase in crime rates among ex-combatants (Schulhofer-Wohl & Sambanis, 2010). This

highlights a limitation in the current DDR programs, as reintegration efforts are often inadequate or poorly executed.

**(ii) Complexity of Post-Conflict Environments:**

Some DDR programs may reduce crime locally, but the complexity of post-conflict environments makes it challenging to establish a direct causal link between DDR programs and crime reduction (Schulhofer-Wohl & Sambanis, 2010). This indicates that existing programs may not fully address the complex socio-political and economic realities faced by ex-combatants, limiting their overall effectiveness.

**(iii) Lack of Comparative Studies:**

There is a need for comparative studies of crime trends between DDR participants and non-participants to gain nuanced insights into the actual effectiveness of DDR programs (Schulhofer-Wohl & Sambanis, 2010). This gap suggests a lack of comprehensive evaluation in existing DDR strategies.

**Research Question 2: How can DDR strategies be rethought to better address current and future challenges?**

**(iv) Addressing Ex-Combatants' Reintegration Needs:**

Effective DDR must address the economic, social, and political reintegration needs of ex-combatants to ensure long-term success and contribute to sustainable peace (Kperogi, 2016). Rethinking DDR strategies should involve a more holistic approach that integrates the diverse needs of ex-combatants.

**(v) Promoting Alternative Livelihoods and Reconciliation:**

DDR strategies should focus on promoting alternative livelihoods, reconciliation, and the strengthening of local capacities to mitigate the involvement of ex-combatants in organized crime (UN, 2021). This rethinking of DDR programs would enhance their ability to address the root causes of conflict and criminal behavior.

**(vi) Context-Specific and Evidence-Based Strategies:**

DDR strategies should be context-specific, comprehensive, and evidence-based, ensuring they are tailored to the unique circumstances of Nigeria's conflict and post-conflict environments (UN, 2021). This approach would increase the relevance and impact of DDR programs.

### **Research Question 3: What innovative approaches can enhance the effectiveness of DDR in Nigeria?**

#### **(vii) Good Governance and Transparent Policies:**

Innovative approaches to DDR should emphasize the importance of good governance, transparent policies, and strong state institutions, which are essential for addressing the drivers of conflict and supporting successful DDR implementation (UN, 2021). Strengthening governance structures can create an enabling environment for DDR programs to succeed.

#### **(viii) Comprehensive and Long-Term Strategy Development:**

The development of a comprehensive, long-term strategy that integrates security, development, and peace building efforts can enhance DDR outcomes. Ensuring that DDR programs are not isolated but embedded within broader national policies will contribute to sustained peace and stability.

### **Discussion of Findings**

#### **What are the limitations of existing DDR programs in Nigeria?**

The research consistently highlights that failures in the reintegration phase of DDR programs can exacerbate insecurity and contribute to higher crime rates among former combatants in post-conflict settings. Schulhofer-Wohl and Sambanis (2010) found that ineffective reintegration efforts are directly associated with increased involvement of former combatants in post-conflict crime, underscoring how inadequate reintegration can undermine the overall success of DDR programs. This challenge is exemplified in the case of Nigeria's Niger Delta, where the Amnesty Programme achieved only a temporary "cold peace" rather than lasting stability (Nwobueze & Inokoba, 2017). Despite government efforts, the region continues to experience persistent issues like kidnapping, oil bunkering, and attacks on infrastructure, reflecting the superficial nature of the peace due to unaddressed root causes.

Kperogi's (2016) study on the Niger Delta further highlights the economic reintegration challenges ex-combatants face, such as inadequate stipends and social stigma, which negatively impact their employability and long-term prospects. These unmet economic, social, and political needs can prolong conflict, as opposed to facilitating sustainable peace. The UN (2021) report emphasizes that successful DDR must directly address ex-combatants' involvement in organized crime by promoting alternative livelihoods, reconciliation, and strengthening national and local capacities. Ineffective reintegration can

allow combatants to simply return to illicit activities, undermining the intended outcomes of DDR programs.

### **How can DDR strategies be rethought to better address current and future challenges?**

To address the root causes of conflict and achieve sustainable peace, DDR programs must go beyond disarmament and demobilization to tackle the underlying economic, social, and political grievances that perpetuate violence. Nwobueze and Inokoba (2017) argue that DDR in Nigeria's Niger Delta has often addressed only the symptoms rather than the root causes of conflict, resulting in a superficial "cold peace."

Enhancing the economic reintegration component of DDR is crucial for improving its overall effectiveness. Strategies must prioritize providing sustainable livelihood opportunities, skills training, and addressing the structural inequalities that drive conflict (Kperogi, 2016). Economic reintegration efforts should be tailored to local contexts to ensure relevance and impact.

As the strategic contexts in which DDR is conducted continue to evolve, with the proliferation of armed non-state actors and changing conflict dynamics, there is a need for more flexible and adaptable "second generation" DDR approaches (Munive & Steppulat, 2015). DDR frameworks must accommodate the diverse nature of armed groups and adapt to new forms of conflict to remain fit for purpose.

### **What innovative approaches can enhance the effectiveness of DDR in Nigeria?**

A key innovative approach highlighted by the research is the need to address ex-combatants' involvement in organized crime as part of DDR processes in Nigeria. The UN (2021) report emphasizes that the overlap between conflict and organized crime, such as human, drug, and weapons trafficking, can provide combatants with incentives to continue fighting. Successful DDR must therefore incorporate strategies to promote alternative livelihoods, enhance governance, and strengthen local capacities to prevent former combatants from returning to criminal activities. This integrated approach aims to disrupt the war economies and illicit networks that can undermine the stability and security gains of DDR.

The research also highlights the importance of adopting context-specific and evidence-based approaches to DDR in Nigeria. The UN (2021) report stresses that effective DDR requires an in-depth understanding of the local dynamics and crime trends. This

involves conducting comparative analyses to understand the differences in outcomes between DDR participants and non-participants. By tailoring interventions based on this evidence, DDR programs can be more responsive to the unique challenges and needs of the target communities. This context-specific approach is crucial for maximizing the impact and relevance of DDR efforts.

Lastly, the research emphasizes the critical role of strengthening state institutions, improving governance practices, and ensuring transparent policies for the success of DDR initiatives in Nigeria. The UN (2021) report underscores that sustainable DDR efforts rely on robust systems and stakeholder cooperation. By enhancing governance and the rule of law, DDR can promote stability, reduce corruption, and build trust between ex-combatants and the government. This, in turn, facilitates the successful reintegration of former fighters and supports the transition from conflict to lasting peace.

## **Conclusion**

This study examined the dynamics and challenges of the Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) process in Nigeria's Niger Delta, focusing on the reintegration of ex-combatants into mainstream society. The problem addressed was the ineffectiveness of current DDR programs in fully integrating ex-combatants, particularly in terms of economic reintegration. Key findings revealed that while social and political reintegration efforts have seen relative success, economic reintegration remains significantly inadequate. Factors such as insufficient government stipends and social stigma have hindered ex-combatants' ability to secure employment and achieve economic stability. The position of the paper is clear: for DDR programs to be effective in the Niger Delta and similar conflict-affected regions, there must be a paradigm shift towards tailored, context-specific strategies that account for the unique socio-economic and political landscapes of these areas. Such an approach is vital for the successful reintegration of ex-combatants and the sustainable resolution of conflict.

The policy implication of this study is that DDR programs in Nigeria's Niger Delta must be restructured to focus more on economic reintegration, which has been a significant challenge. Policymakers need to allocate more resources for job training, employment opportunities, and microfinance initiatives tailored to the specific needs of ex-combatants in this region. Moreover, DDR programs should involve community-based strategies to reduce social stigma and promote acceptance of ex-combatants. This calls for a shift in government

priorities, ensuring that both financial and social reintegration efforts are aligned with the realities of the region's socio-economic conditions. Effective DDR policies should be more adaptable and context-specific, integrating local governance structures and community involvement to address underlying causes of conflict and foster long-term peace.

The research contributes to advancing knowledge by providing a comprehensive analysis of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) programs in conflict-affected regions like Nigeria's Niger Delta. It identifies critical gaps in current DDR strategies, particularly in economic reintegration, and proposes context-specific recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of these programs. By focusing on the unique socio-economic and political dynamics of the Niger Delta, the study offers insights into tailored approaches necessary for sustainable peace building and conflict resolution.

Further studies should explore the long-term impacts of DDR programs, the role of international collaboration in enhancing DDR efforts, and the continuous adaptation of DDR strategies to evolving conflict scenarios. These areas of research are crucial for developing more effective and sustainable approaches to post-conflict reintegration and peace building.

### **Recommendations**

The study recommends the following:

- i. The Federal government should provide job market-aligned training to enhance the employability of ex-combatants.
- ii. The Federal government should further support entrepreneurship through microloans and business development services to create sustainable livelihoods.
- iii. State governments should conduct community sensitization campaigns to highlight the importance of reintegrating ex-combatants.
- iv. Governments should establish committees involving community leaders and ex-combatants to ensure local perspectives are incorporated into DDR programs.
- v. Ex-combatants should be included in local governance processes to promote social cohesion and reduce criminal re-engagement.
- vi. The Ministry for Niger Delta should facilitate the formation of advocacy associations for ex-combatants to support their reintegration.

## References

- Asala, B. T., & Udoka, C. S. (2022). ECOWAS efforts in combating small and light arms proliferation in West Africa: Challenges and prospects of Boko Haram 2005 – 2021. *RUJMASS*, 8(1), 71-78. <https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://rujmass.com/Journals/2022/007.pdf&ved=2ahUKEwik9pnO7LaNAXZWUEAHUwQABgQFnoECDcQAQ&usg=AOvVaw3srtrchY2FnqtvIWSAlg8v>
- Aver, T. T. (2020). A reflection of security implications of small arms and light weapons proliferation in north central Nigeria. *International Journal of Management, Social Sciences, Peace and Conflict Studies (IJSSPCS)*, 3(2), 71-83.
- Babatunde, A. O. (2020). Local perspectives on food security in Nigeria's Niger Delta. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 7(3), 931–939. doi: 10.1016/j.exis.2020.07.011.
- Daniels, L. D. (2020). Perspectives on the implementation of the ECOWAS convention on small arms and light weapons in Nigeria.
- Ebiede, T. M., Langer, A., & Tosun, J. (2020). Disarmament, Demobilisation, and Reintegration: Analysing the Outcomes of Nigeria's Post-Amnesty Programme. *Stability: International Journal of Security and Development*. Retrieved from <https://stabilityjournal.org/articles/10.5334/sta.752>
- Hayes, A. (2024). Conflict theory definition, founder, and examples: What you need to know about the Karl Marx theory. Investopedia. Retrieved from <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/conflict-theory.asp>
- Kperogi, M. E. (2016). From the creeks to the community: The reintegration of ex-combatants in Nigeria's Niger Delta. Doctor of International Conflict Management Dissertations, (5).
- Mai-Bornu, Z. (2019). Oil, conflict, and the dynamics of resource struggle in the Niger Delta: A comparison of the Ogoni and Ijaw movements. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 6(4), 1282–1291. doi: 10.1016/j.exis.2019.10.002.
- Mashal, J. M., Liman, A. N., Caleb, L. R., & Modibbo, A. S. (2023). Nature and responses of Nigerian government on ECOWAS convention on the proliferation of small arms and light weapons on Nigeria's security. *International Journal of Law, Politics & Humanities Research*, 29(6). *Cambridge Research and Publications*. ISSN-1640-7277 (Print).
- Muggah, R. (2015). Security and post-conflict reconstruction: Dealing with fighters in the aftermath of war. *Conflict, Security & Development*, 5(3), 383-402.
- Munive, J., & Steputat, F. (2015). Rethinking disarmament, demobilization and reintegration programs. *Stability. International Journal of Security and Development*, 4(1), Art. 30. <https://doi.org/10.5334/sta.go>
- Ndiyun, R. K. (June 2022). Peace agreements with no peace: A critical review of peace agreements in the Central African Republic. *African Security Review*, 31(23), 1-17. DOI: 10.1080/10246029.2022.2086477

- Nnanta Amadi, A. (2023). The Presidential Amnesty Programme (PAP) and the Niger Delta: analysing the role of local communities in the programme between 1999 and 2017. University of Wolverhampton. <http://hdl.handle.net/2436/625437>
- Nwobueze, C. C., & Inokoba, P. (2017). Mortgaging tomorrow's Security through Today's Graveyard Peace: A Critical Discourse of the Amnesty Programme in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Review of History and Political Science*, 5(2). DOI: 10.15640/rhps.v5n2a3
- Obafemi, A. S., & Oluranti, A. (2022). Assessment of the consequences of ECOWAS Protocol on Free Movement on Nigeria's national security. *RUJMASS*, 8(1), 177.
- Ozerdem, A. (October 2002). Disarmament, demobilisation and reintegration of former combatants in Afghanistan: Lessons learned from a cross-cultural perspective. *Third World Quarterly - Journal of Emerging Areas*, 23(5), 961-975. DOI: 10.1080/0143659022000028558.
- Paige, S., & Frederick, D. A. (2014). Conflict theory. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304223229\\_Conflict\\_Theory](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304223229_Conflict_Theory)
- Rodríguez-Castellón, J. M. (2024). Evolution and challenges of DDR: A policy review through the prism of Colombia's DDR experience. *Heliyon*, 10(13), e33361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33361>
- Schulhofer-Wohl, J., & Sambanis, N. (2010). Disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration programs: An assessment. Folke Bernadotte Academy. ISBN: 978-91-633-0677-8.
- The DDR Bulletin, Issue 4, 2024. United Nations DDR Resource Centre, <https://www.unddr.org/the-ddr-bulletin/the-ddr-bulletin-issue-4-2024/>. Accessed 04 April 2025.
- United Nations. (2021). Second generation disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration (DDR) practices in peace operations.
- United Nations DDR Resource Centre (UN DDR). (2022, September 26). Reintegration. <https://www.unddr.org>
- Ukhurebor, K. E., Ngonso, B. F., Egielewa, P. E., Cirella, G. T., Akinsehinde, B. O., & Balogune, V. A. (2023). Petroleum spills and the communicative response from petroleum agencies and companies: Impact assessment from the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 15, 101331. doi: 10.1016/j.exis.2023.101331.
- World Bank. (2022). Defueling Conflict: Environment and Natural Resource Management as a... World Bank Documents & Reports. <https://documents1.worldbank.org>
- Wununyatu, D., & Solomon, P. (2020). Disarmament, Demobilization and Re-Integration (DDR) in Burundi: Lessons for Nigeria. *FUWukari Journal of Politics & Development*, 4(2), 52-63.